

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE |                 | ILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR      | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/797,606 03/11/2004       |                 | 03/11/2004    | Masato Kurokawa           | 042190              | 3867             |  |
| 38834                       | 7590            | 08/08/2006    |                           | EXAM                | MINER            |  |
| WESTERM                     | IAN, HA         | TTORI, DANIEL | GUDIBANDE, SATYANARAYAN R |                     |                  |  |
| 1250 CONN                   | <b>ECTICU</b> 1 | r avenue, nw  |                           |                     |                  |  |
| SUITE 700                   |                 |               |                           | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| WASHING                     | TON, DC         | 20036         | 1654                      |                     |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.            | Applicant(s)    |   |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|-----------------|---|--|--|--|
| 10/797,606                 | KUROKAWA ET AL. |   |  |  |  |
| Examiner                   | Art Unit        | _ |  |  |  |
| Satyanarayana R. Gudibande | 1654            |   |  |  |  |

|                               | - Catyanarayana N. Coolban  |                      | 1001  |   |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------------|---|---|
|                               | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with  | the                  | orrespondence add   | ress                                    |
| THE                           | REPLY FILED 12 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO  | OR AL                | LOWANCE.  |   |
| 1. 🗵                          | The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Not this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendme places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fe a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The re time periods:  | ent, aff<br>ee) in o | idavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C           | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)          |
| ۵۱                            | The periods.  The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</u>  |                      |   |   |
| b)                            |   | at forth             | in the final rejection, wh                                | ichover ic later. In                    |
| ٠,                            | no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the  |                      |   |   |
|                               | Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHE TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  | N THE                | FIRST REPLY WAS F   | ILED WITHIN                             |
| have<br>unde<br>set fo<br>may | nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CF been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding are 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reporth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | mount<br>bly orig    | of the fee. The appropr<br>inally set in the final Offi   | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
|                               | The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 mu  | ıst be               | filed within two month                                    | ns of the date of                       |
|                               | filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)) a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set for ENDMENTS  | (e)), to             | avoid dismissal of the                                    | e appeal. Since                         |
|                               | ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a filed aft | hrief                | will not be entered b                                     | ecause                                  |
| ے                             | (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (se  |                      |   | Coddoc                                  |
|                               | (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  |                      | , ,   |   |
|                               | (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materiappeal; and/or  | ally re              | ducing or simplifying                                     | the issues for                          |
|                               | (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of final  | ally rej             | ected claims.   |   |
|                               | NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  |                      |   |   |
| 4. 🗵                          | The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of N  | on-Co                | mpliant Amendment   | (PTOL-324).                             |
| 5. 🗀                          | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |                      |   |   |
| 6. [                          | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a sepanon-allowable claim(s).   | arate,               | timely filed amendme                                      | ent canceling the                       |
| 7. 🗵                          | For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  | □ wi                 | ll be entered and an e                                    | explanation of                          |
|                               | Claim(s) allowed:   |                      |   |   |
|                               | Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7.   |                      |   |   |
|                               | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.   |                      |   |   |
| AFF                           | IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |                      |   |   |
|                               | The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filin because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   | ig a N<br>affidav    | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u><br>vit or other evidence i | ot be entered<br>s necessary and        |
| 9. 🗀                          | The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier present   | appe                 | al and/or appellant fa                                    | ils to provide a                        |
| 10. [                         | ☑ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims a  |                      | • • • •   | •                                       |
|                               | QUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | _                    |   |   |
|                               | The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the applica<br>See Continuation Sheet.   |                      |   | nce because:                            |
|                               | ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Page 14.   | aper N               | lo(s)   |   |
| 13. [                         | ☐ Other:  |                      |   |   |
|                               |   | 1                    | HA  |   |
|                               |   |                      | ALUDTA  |   |
|                               |   | NOV                  | GUPTA<br>EXAMINED   |   |
|                               | T PALLEY  | ·/ II                |   |   |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims have been amended to define variable(s). This would require further search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Since the amendments have not been entered, applicant's arguments are moot and will not be addressed. The earlier 103(a) rejections are maintained.